

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
17.3 Sustainable Design	
17.3.6	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Amendment required to clarify that the BRE Home Quality Mark replaced the Code for Sustainable Homes not Building for Life
17.7 Local Character and Amenity	
17.7.7	<u>Objection</u> 1. Taller buildings are not “often suited to key locations such as...the end of vistas or parks” – could harm the setting and significance of historic parks and gardens <u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Description of rural landscape is poor – should state “the Woodland Trust estimates that woodland in East Herts accounts for 9.8% of total land cover” 2. 11 ancient woodlands are under threat (not a %) – no evidence of this assertion 3. Hedgerows do not always represent enclosure 4. Should refer to Hedgerow Regulations not Legislation 5. Minor wording changes proposed for clarification
17.7.10	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Minor wording changes proposed for clarification
17.7.11	<u>Support</u> 1. The Environment Agency supports this statement, in particular reference to buffer zones and

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	invasive species
17.7.13	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Minor wording changes proposed for clarification – removal of reference to existing trees and hedgerows
17.7.15	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Minor wording changes proposed for clarification – addition of reference to green space infrastructure
17.7.19	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Aspiration 6 could include community orchards
17.7.20	<u>Other Comments and Observations</u> 1. Reference to Dementia Friendly communities could be added
Policy DES1 Landscape Character	
DES1	<u>Objection</u> 1. Policy adds no value than NPPF policy 2. Reference to the Landscape Character Appraisal SPD should be removed as standards should be set out in the District Plan
Policy DES2 Landscaping	
DES2	<u>Support</u> 1. Environment Agency strongly support this policy

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <p>1. Policy adds no value than NPPF policy</p> <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <p>1. Minor wording changes proposed for clarification – advisory statements regarding mitigation</p>
Policy DES3 Design of Development	
DES3	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <p>1. Policy adds no value than NPPF policy</p> <p>2. Part I(d) should be amended to refer to the role and primacy of Building Regulations – may be unviable</p> <p>3. Part I(e) should be amended to remove requirement for electric vehicle charging points on the site – focus this provision in public spaces</p> <p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <p>1. Buildings must not interfere with airport safeguarding zones and height restrictions may be necessary</p>
17.8 Crime and Security	
17.8.2	<p><u>Other Comments and Observations</u></p> <p>1. Secured by Design initiative is only voluntary</p>
Policy DES4 Crime and Security	
DES4	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <p>1. Policy adds no value than NPPF policy</p>